

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,939	08/31/2001	Cedric K. R. H. Bouleau	2051	2051
55346	7590 10/20/2006		EXAMINER	
OSHA . LIANG L.L.P. / SLB 1221 MCKINNEY STREET		TRAN, MYLINH T		
SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010			2179	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Office Action Summary		09/943,939	BOULEAU, CEI	BOULEAU, CEDRIC K. R. H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mylinh Tran	2179	·			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence	address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commur or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMU 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma nication. trory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) ill, by statute, cause the application to become	JNICATION.  ay a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)  🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 31 July 2006					
		) This action is non-final.					
- '=	Since this application is in condition for		natters, prosecution as to the	he merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-9,11-15,18-21,24-36 and 39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		I to by the Evaminer				
ا	Applicant may not request that any objection		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be			• •			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have be	een received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the Internationa						
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	4) Intervio	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is responsive to amendment, filed 07/31/06. Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11-15, 18-21, 24-36 and 39 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 18, 27, 33, and 39 are independent claims. In this amendment, claims 16-17 are canceled, claims 1, 18, 27, 33 and 39 are amended, and no claim is added. This action is final.

## Claim Objections

Claims 18, 27, 33 and 39 are objected to because of the following informalities: There is a typo error in the phrase "wherein out of control is displayed in the panel".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11-15, 18-21, 24-36 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carroll [US. 2002/0085020].

As per independent claims 1, 18, 27 and 33, Carroll teaches a computer implemented method and corresponding system for providing a dynamically modifiable user interface comprising the steps/means:

Art Unit: 2179

a memory configured to store a UI view definition for the user interface (page 1, 0017-0021); and

a processor configured to execute a UI view manager (page 3, 0054-0055, Web browser is considered as the first application), wherein the UI view manager comprising functionality to dynamically generate at run-time the user interface from the UI view definition (page 5, (0167) and page 7, (2 121), wherein the UI view manager instantiates, as part of the user interface, a panel comprising a wrapped control (page 3, (0059) and page 5, (0189)), (page 7, (0212) and page 9, (0243)).

Carroll also teaches the wrapped control comprising a control (page 1, 0061, Java application is considered as the second application) and a wrapper (page 7, (0214) and page 3, (0059); wherein the wrapper provides an interface between the control and the UI view manager (page 12, (0256) –page 13, (0263); wherein the UI view manager is configured to send a message to the control, the control is configured to receive the message (page 8, (0220) and page 10, (0247)); wherein the control is an application and wherein output of the control is displayed in the panel (Java application and browser are two currently executing applications).

As per claim 3, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches the user interface comprising a plurality of controls, the wrapped control being one of the controls (e.g. fig. 19).

Art Unit: 2179

As per claims 6, 20, 29 and 35, Carroll teaches the UI view manager being operable to dynamically change at a run-time a function of the wrapped control (page 9, (0244)).

As per claim 7, which is dependent on claim 1, it is inherent in Carroll's system to comprise a UI container, wherein the user interface is provided within an environment provided by the UI container.

As per claim 8, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches the UI view manager provides the wrapped control as part of the user interface by including a user interface element of the wrapped control in the user interface (page 7, (0210).

As per claim 9, which is dependent on of claim 1, Carroll teaches the UI view manager instantiates the wrapped control as part of the user interface by: providing functionality of the wrapped control to be performed in response to activating a user interface element of the wrapped control in the user interface (page 7, (0210) and page 12, (0256) - page 13, (0263).

As per claim 11, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches the UI view manager containing an implementation of a UI view interface and the wrapped

Page 5

control invokes function of the UI view interface implementation of the UI view interface to communicate with the UI view manager (page 7, (0210) and page 12, (0256) - page 13, (0263)).

As per claim 12, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches the UI view manager being operable to dynamically generate the user interface in response to a change to the UI view definition (page 14, (0268)).

As per claim 13, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches: a user interface designer for providing a UI view definition (page 8, (0236)).

As per claim 14, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches the UI view definition corresponding to an XML file (fig. 17).

As per claim 15, which is dependent on claim 1, Carroll teaches the UI view definition comprising a control definition for the wrapped control, wherein the control definition specifies a user interface element of the wrapped control and a program identifier of code to provide functionality of the wrapped control (page 9, (0243) and (0244).

Art Unit: 2179

As per claims 19, 28 and 34, Carroll teaches the UI view manager being operable to dynamically add a new wrapped control to the user interface (page 7, (0212) and page 9, (0243)).

As per claims 21, 30 and 36, Carroll teaches the user interface including a plurality of controls (e.g. fig. 19); and it is inherent in Carroll system that the UI view manager is operable to dynamically remove an existing control of the controls from the user interface using the GUI builder application (fig. 22).

As per claim 24, which is dependent on claim 18, Carroll teaches creating a wrapper comprising implementing at least one function of a control interface (page 9, (0244)).

As per claim 25, which is dependent on claim 24, Carroll teaches the at least one function is selected from the set a first function to cause the control to read its internal data, a second function to cause the control to load a property of the control from the UI view definition, a third function to save a property of the control to the UI view definition, a fourth function to return a license key for the control, a fifth function to initialize a property of the control and a sixth function to receive a notification about a user interface event (fig. 13; pages 7 and 8, (0214)).

As per claim 26, which is dependent on claim 18, Carroll teaches:

generating a UI view manager by implementing at least one function of an UI view interface the function selected from the set a first function returning a table of references to business objects, a second function retruning a parameter to provide scope of access to a control of the user interface, a third function to register a control for providing alarm information to the control, a fourth function to deregister a control to terminate providing alarm information to the control, a fifth function to create a panel for housing controls, a sixth function to create a panel for adding a control to a panel (page 13, (0264)), a seventh function to remove the panel forma the user interface, an eight function to remove a control from a panel, a ninth function to activate or deactivate a control, a tenth function to display a text message of a control on a status message panel (page 6, 0203-0209).

As per claims 31 and 32, Carroll teaches:

sending a message to and receiving a message from the wrapped control via a control interface associated with the wrapper (page 8, (0220) and page 10, (0247).

As per independent claim 39, it is a combination of claims 1 and 12; therefore, it is rejected as set for in the rejection of claims I and 12, combined.

### **Response to Arguments**

Applicant argues Carroll does not teach or suggest "two concurrently executing applications". However, Carroll teaches browser application (considered as the first application UI view manager) for generating and managing the User Interface. Applicant's attention is directed to the cited passage "an applications graphical user interface is specified using an SML document as an application interface file. At application compile time this application interface file is parsed, and specifications therein used to retrieve graphical screen components form an interface library to create the user interface". The second application Control is the Java application which generates outputs for the UI to display. The browser application and Java application send and receive messages (outputs) where the Browser receives the outputs from the Java application. Applicant's attention is directed to the cited passage "A type of Java application that runs within a web browser".

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER